U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass. Avc., N.W., Rm. A3042 Washington, DC 20529

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY





FILE:

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

FEB 0 3 2005

IN RE:

Petitioner:

EAC 01 232 57152

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section

101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as an associate pastor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

On appeal, counsel submits additional documentation.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

- (i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;
- (ii) seeks to enter the United States--
 - (I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,
 - (II) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or
 - (III) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and
- (iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(1) echoes the above statutory language, and states, in pertinent part, that "[a]n alien, or any person in behalf of the alien, may file a Form I-360 visa petition for classification under section 203(b)(4) of the Act as a section 101(a)(27)(C) special immigrant religious worker. Such a petition may be filed by or for an alien, who (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition has been a member of a religious denomination which has a bona fide nonprofit religious organization in the United States." The regulation indicates that the "religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition."

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(3) states, in pertinent part, that each petition for a religious worker must be accompanied by:

- (ii) A letter from an authorized official of the religious organization in the United States which (as applicable to the particular alien) establishes:
 - (A) That, immediately prior to the filing of the petition, the alien has the required two years of membership in the denomination and the required two years of experience in the religious vocation, professional religious work, or other religious work.

The petition was filed on May 7, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously working as a pastor throughout the two-year period immediately preceding that date.

In a letter dated April 23, 2001, the petitioner stated that the beneficiary has been an associate pastor with the petitioning organization for more than five years. The petitioner submitted no documentary evidence to corroborate its statement. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972).

In a request for evidence (RFE) dated February 20, 2002, the director instructed the petitioner to submit evidence of the beneficiary's continuous two-year full-time experience, such as "statements which include all of the following information: detailed listing of the beneficiary's duties the commencement and termination dates of employment, and the time spent per week by the beneficiary performing those duties."

In response, the petitioner submitted a letter signed by a senior evangelist with the petitioning organization. Mr stated that the beneficiary had been an associate pastor of the church for the last five years and had been teaching Sunday school and bible classes. Mr also listed the duties the beneficiary performed in the position, including preaching sermons, conducting communion, counseling and teaching Sunday school. He stated that the beneficiary worked full-time and that his salary, including housing, was over \$1,000 per month. The petitioner again submitted no corroborative documentary evidence of the beneficiary's prior employment.

The legislative history of the religious worker provision of the Immigration Act of 1990 states that a substantial amount of case law had developed on religious organizations and occupations, the implication being that Congress intended that this body of case law be employed in implementing the provision, with the addition of "a number of safeguards . . . to prevent abuse." See H.R. Rep. No. 101-723, at 75 (1990).

The statute states at section 101(a)(27)(C)(iii) that the religious worker must have been carrying on the religious vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for the immediately preceding two years. Under former Schedule A (prior to the Immigration Act of 1990), a person seeking entry to perform duties for a religious organization was required to be engaged "principally" in such duties. "Principally" was defined as more than 50 percent of the person's working time. Under prior law a minister of religion was required to demonstrate that he/she had been "continuously" carrying on the vocation of minister for the two years immediately preceding the time of application. The term "continuously" was interpreted to mean that one did not take up any other occupation or vocation. *Matter of B*, 3 I&N Dec. 162 (CO 1948).

Later decisions on religious workers conclude that, if the worker is to receive no salary for church work, the assumption is that he/she would be required to earn a living by obtaining other employment. *Matter of Bisulca*, 10 I&N Dec. 712 (Reg. Comm. 1963) and *Matter of Sinha*, 10 I&N Dec. 758 (Reg. Comm. 1963).

The term "continuously" also is discussed in a 1980 decision where the Board of Immigration Appeals determined that a minister of religion was not continuously carrying on the vocation of minister when he was a full-time student who was devoting only nine hours a week to religious duties. *Matter of Varughese*, 17 I&N Dec. 399 (BIA 1980).

In line with these past decisions and the intent of Congress, it is clear, therefore that to be continuously carrying on the religious work means to do so on a full-time basis. That the qualifying work should be paid employment, not volunteering, is inherent in those past decisions which hold that, if the religious worker is not paid, the assumption is that he/she is engaged in other, secular employment. The idea that a religious undertaking would be unsalaried is applicable only to those in a religious vocation who in accordance with their vocation live in a clearly unsalaried environment, the primary examples in the regulations being nuns, monks, and religious brothers and sisters. Clearly, therefore, the qualifying two years of religious work must be full-time and generally salaried. To hold otherwise would be contrary to the intent of Congress.

On appeal, the petitioner submitted copies of receipts indicating that the beneficiary was paid \$1,000 per month from April 2000 to July 2002. The receipts, all dated on the 28th of the month, have what appear to be original signatures. The petitioner submitted two receipts for May 2001 and none for May 2002. The petitioner submitted no documentary evidence that the beneficiary was compensated for his services from April 1999 to March 2000, or was otherwise employed as a minister during this time frame. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190.

The petitioner also submitted a copy of a 2001 church flyer and program that listed the beneficiary as a senior evangelist, and letters from individuals attesting to the beneficiary's service as a pastor. However, these documents are insufficient to establish that the position was a full-time, salaried position.

The evidence is insufficient to establish that the beneficiary was continuously employed as a pastor for two full years prior to the filing of the visa petition.

Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner has not established that the beneficiary's prospective employer is a bona fide nonprofit religious organization. This deficiency constitutes an additional ground for dismissal of the appeal.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(3)(i) states, in pertinent part:

- (3) Initial evidence. Unless otherwise specified, each petition for a religious worker must be accompanied by:
- (i) Evidence that the organization qualifies as a nonprofit organization in the form of either:
- (A) Documentation showing that it is exempt from taxation in accordance with § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as it relates to religious organizations (in appropriate cases,

evidence of the organization's assets and methods of operation and the organization's papers of incorporation under applicable state law may be requested); or

(B) Such documentation as is required by the Internal Revenue Service to establish eligibility for exemption under $\S 501(c)(3)$ of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as it relates to religious organization.

The petitioner submitted a copy of a July 16, 1992 letter from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to the Celestial Church of Christ in Hyattsville, Maryland, informing that organization that it had been granted tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) as an organization described in sections 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). The IRS letter does not indicate that the petitioner had been granted group tax-exempt status for its subordinate units.

The evidence submitted by the petitioner indicates that the proffered position is with the was organized in March 2000 as a separate and new parish of the Celestial Church of Christ.

The petitioner must either provide verification of the individual exemption from the IRS, proof of coverage under a group exemption granted by the IRS to the denomination, or such documentation as is required by the IRS to establish eligibility as a tax-exempt nonprofit religious organization. Such documentation to establish eligibility for exemption under section 501(c)(3) includes: a completed Form 1023, a completed Schedule A attachment, if applicable, and a copy of the articles of organization showing, *inter alia*, the disposition of assets in the event of dissolution.

The petitioner submitted no evidence that the control of the Celestial Church of Christ is a bona fide nonprofit religious organization as required by the statute and regulation.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.